## Hilltop Elem School (K-3) MCHENRY CCSD 15



### Principal

Mrs. Christine Brown htoffice@d15.org Address

2615 W Lincoln Rd McHenry IL 60051 (779)244-1300

**District Superintendent** Dr. Josh Reitz

http://www.d15.org

### **District Provided Statement**

Not available.

## **About the Report Card**

# State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

## **School Snapshot**

Site-Based Expenditure Per Student Spending: \$9,435

Average Class Size : \*

Chronic Absenteeism: 9.8%

Teacher Retention : 85.9%

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 02 | How To Read The Data
- 03 | Academic Progress
- 25 | School Environment
- 30 | Students
- 47 | Accountability
- 49 | Teachers
- 53 | Administrators
- 55 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

### **Understanding COVID-19 Flags**

Flag	Description
Data unavailable due to COVID-19	Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020-21 Report Card.
Possible data impact due to COVID-19	Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2020-21 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.
Possible data impact due to COVID-19	Caution should be used when reviewing this data. This data should not be considered final until all Assessment testing has been completed and the data has been finalized.
Data delayed due to COVID-19	COVID-19 changes to assessment scheduling delayed publication of 2020-21 data. If no assessment data appears for your district, it may not appear until April of 2022.
Data not available	Data for this metric are not available through the Report Card, however additional information can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/Report-Card-Metrics.aspx. Reasons these data are not available on the SY2020-21 Illinois Report Card may include (a) substantial changes to how the metric is measured (i.e. methodology), (b) substantial changes to what the metric measures (i.e. the construct), (c) circumstances that render the data set substantially incomplete, or (d) circumstances that cause the data and its use to fall below acceptable thresholds for reliability and validity.

## About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### IAR

### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (English language arts [ELA] and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
School	41.9%	20.3%	<b>16.2</b> %	20.3%	1.4%	34.7%	25.3%	18.7%	18.7%	2.7%
District	29.5%	18.1%	24.7%	27.1%	0.6%	21.1%	28.4%	25.1%	23.0%	2.4%
State	29.4%	20.9%	21.3%	26.4%	1.9%	22.9%	23.1%	22.8%	25.1%	6.1%
White										
School	36.4%	21.8%	16.4%	23.6%	1.8%	<b>32</b> .1%	19.6%	21.4%	23.2%	3.6%
District	23.8%	16.3%	28.8%	30.4%	0.8%	16.4%	26.9%	26.5%	27.3%	2.9%
State	19.5%	20.6%	24.2%	33.2%	2.5%	12.2%	20.4%	26.9%	33.1%	7.5%
Black				1						1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.7%	21.9%	14.1%	10.1%	0.2%	52.0%	26.9%	13.7%	6.8%	0.7%
Male		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
School	58.3%	16.7%	8.3%	13.9%	2.8%	30.6%	30.6%	13.9%	19.4%	5.6%
District	36.1%	18.3%	20.1%	24.3%	1.2%	20.7%	29.0%	24.3%	22.5%	3.6%
State	33.0%	21.9%	20.9%	23.0%	1.2%	22.3%	22.0%	22.5%	26.3%	7.0%
Female				I						
School	26.3%	23.7%	23.7%	26.3%	0.0%	38.5%	20.5%	23.1%	17.9%	0.0%
District	22.7%	17.8%	29.4%	30.1%	0.0%	21.6%	27.8%	25.9%	23.5%	1.2%
				30.0%	2.6%	23.4%	24.3%	23.2%	23.8%	5.3%

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Hispanic										
School	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
District	<b>52</b> .1%	21.9%	15.1%	11.0%	0.0%	34.2%	31.5%	24.7%	9.6%	0.0%
State	44.7%	22.7%	17.5%	14.5%	0.6%	36.7%	30.2%	19.0%	12.4%	1.6%
Asian				1	1			1	1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	11.5%	14.7%	23.5%	45.2%	5.1%	6.8%	12.4%	19.7%	38.7%	22.4%
Native Hav	waiian/ Paci	fic Islander	1	1		1	1	1	1	1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	21.8%	20.8%	26.7%	29.7%	1.0%	19.2%	26.3%	22.2%	29.3%	3.0%
American	Indian		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	38.9%	19.2%	18.8%	21.2%	1.9%	32.2%	24.0%	19.2%	18.8%	5.8%
Two or Mo	ore Races									
School	*	*	•	*	*	•	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	27.1%	21.2%	22.7%	26.6%	2.3%	21.6%	24.0%	22.1%	24.7%	7.5%
Sidle	27.170	21.270	22.770	20.070	2.070	21.075	2 1.0 /0	22.175		7.070

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Students v	vith Disabilit	ies								
School	<b>68.2</b> %	22.7%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%	47.8%	26.1%	26.1%	0.0%	0.0%
District	61.3%	24.2%	9.7%	4.8%	0.0%	44.4%	27.0%	22.2%	6.3%	0.0%
State	<b>53.7</b> %	21.1%	13.7%	11.0%	0.6%	41.0%	25.4%	17.7%	13.4%	2.5%
Students v	vith IEPs				1		1			1
School	<b>68.2</b> %	22.7%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%	47.8%	<b>26.1</b> %	<b>26.1</b> %	0.0%	0.0%
District	61.7%	23.3%	10.0%	5.0%	0.0%	44.3%	27.9%	23.0%	4.9%	0.0%
State	58.4%	20.7%	12.0%	8.5%	0.4%	44.7%	25.7%	16.5%	11.3%	1.8%
Non-IEP	1	1	1	1	1	L	1	L	1	1
School	30.8%	19.2%	21.2%	26.9%	1.9%	28.8%	25.0%	15.4%	26.9%	3.8%
District	22.4%	16.9%	27.9%	32.0%	0.7%	15.9%	28.5%	25.6%	27.0%	3.0%
State	24.6%	21.0%	22.9%	29.4%	2.2%	19.3%	22.7%	23.9%	27.3%	6.8%
English Lea	arners	1	1	1	1	L	1	L	1	1
School	<b>68.8</b> %	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	18.8%	6.3%	0.0%
District	62.7%	20.9%	7.5%	9.0%	0.0%	45.5%	30.3%	18.2%	6.1%	0.0%
State	52.2%	23.3%	15.2%	9.0%	0.3%	40.2%	29.6%	18.2%	10.9%	1.1%
Non-Englis	sh Learners	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	
School	34.5%	22.4%	17.2%	24.1%	1.7%	30.5%	25.4%	18.6%	22.0%	3.4%
District	21.1%	17.4%	29.1%	31.7%	0.8%	15.1%	27.9%	26.8%	27.2%	3.0%
State	25.0%	20.5%	22.5%	29.8%	2.2%	19.6%	21.8%	23.7%	27.8%	7.1%

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

IAR (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

IAR (	(cont)

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incor	ne									
School	<b>56.1</b> %	19.5%	12.2%	9.8%	2.4%	45.2%	33.3%	<b>16.7</b> %	2.4%	2.4%
District	49.6%	19.5%	14.3%	15.8%	0.8%	34.3%	32.8%	23.9%	<b>8.2</b> %	0.7%
State	46.2%	23.4%	17.1%	12.9%	0.5%	38.9%	29.1%	19.2%	11.6%	1.2%
Non Low I	ncome									
School	24.2%	21.2%	21.2%	33.3%	0.0%	21.2%	15.2%	21.2%	39.4%	3.0%
District	<b>16.1</b> %	17.1%	31.7%	34.7%	0.5%	12.2%	25.4%	25.9%	33.0%	3.6%
State	16.6%	19.1%	24.6%	36.7%	3.0%	10.7%	18.5%	25.6%	35.3%	9.9%
Homeless	·			·	·		·			
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.6%	22.8%	13.9%	9.5%	0.2%	45.2%	29.1%	18.0%	7.1%	0.6%
Migrant										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In C	are		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.4%	24.7%	15.4%	8.5%	0.0%	42.0%	27.8%	21.2%	8.5%	0.4%
Military										
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	27.9%	20.9%	24.9%	24.5%	1.8%	19.4%	22.9%	29.0%	24.9%	3.8%

### Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### DLM

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

### Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Overla 7								
Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	71.0%	9.9%	18.4%	0.7%	75.0%	13.0%	8.2%	3.8%
White								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.3%	10.0%	20.1%	0.6%	74.0%	13.5%	8.2%	4.2%
Black								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.6%	8.8%	20.7%	0.9%	74.5%	10.6%	10.2%	4.6%
Male								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.3%	10.2%	18.9%	0.7%	73.5%	12.8%	8.6%	5.0%
Female								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	×	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.8%	9.3%	17.3%	0.6%	78.4%	13.3%	7.1%	1.2%
				1	1	1	1	

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

### Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Hispanic								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.9%	11.6%	14.1%	0.4%	75.7%	13.0%	8.1%	3.2%
Asian		1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.5%	7.8%	15.7%	0.0%	74.5%	21.6%	3.9%	0.0%
Native Hawai	ian/ Pacific Isla	nder	1	1	1	1		1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Ind	ian		1	1	1	1		1
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More I	Races							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.1%	7.7%	17.3%	1.9%	82.4%	9.8%	3.9%	3.9%

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Possible

Possihle	data	imnact	due to	COVID-19
USSIDIE	uata	Inpact	uue iu	

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Students wit	h Disabilities							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.9%	10.0%	18.4%	0.7%	74.9%	13.3%	8.2%	3.7%
Students wit	h IEPs							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.9%	10.0%	18.4%	0.7%	74.9%	13.3%	8.2%	3.7%
Non-IEP								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.0%	4.2%	20.8%	0.0%	79.2%	0.0%	8.3%	12.5%
English Learn	iers							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	10.6%	14.0%	0.0%	77.6%	14.4%	5.7%	2.3%
Non-English	Learners	·	·		·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.6%	9.7%	19.9%	0.9%	74.2%	12.5%	9.0%	4.4%

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

### Possible data impact due to COVID-19

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>69.7</b> %	10.3%	19.1%	1.0%	74.0%	13.9%	8.0%	4.1%
Non Low Inco	ome							
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.8%	9.4%	17.5%	0.2%	76.4%	11.7%	8.4%	3.5%
Homeless								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	7.7%	23.1%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Migrant								
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Youth In Care	•		1		1	1		
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	10.0%	25.0%	5.0%	65.0%	15.0%	15.0%	5.0%
Military		·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	·		
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%

Asterisks (\*) in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

### 1 Data not available

### ISA

### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

### **Proficiency**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All Te	ests										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### Mathematics - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

## Proficiency (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### Science - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### **Mean Growth Percentile - IAR**

🚹 Data not available

### What is it?

This shows the growth of students relative to other students in the state with a similar scale score in the preceding school year(s). If the data is available, the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) takes up to two prior scores. The school, district, and state measure is the sum of the students' SGP divided by the number of students with an SGP. Illinois uses SGPs to calculate growth. Proficiency shows whether or not students have mastered a common, high standard; whereas, growth recognizes progress toward and beyond the standard, no matter where each student started. Data show that students of all races, income levels, languages, and disability statutes demonstrate growth across the full range. Our rigorous assessments ensure there is more than enough room to measure the growth of even Illinois' highest achievers.

ELA											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### Mean Growth Percentile - IAR (cont)

1 Data not available

#### **Mathematics**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### **Participation Rate**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All Te	ELA - All Tests												
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities		
School	<b>75.2</b> % *	<b>75.5</b> % *	<b>75.0</b> % *	76.0% *	*	64.7% *	*	*	*	*	75.0% *		
District	<b>74.6%</b> *	<b>76.4%</b> *	<b>72.8%</b> *	<b>77.7%</b> *	<b>60.0%</b> *	<b>67.8</b> % *	<b>55.6%</b> *	*	*	<b>65.5</b> % *	<b>75.7%</b> *		
State	<b>74.0%</b> *	74.3% *	<b>73.7</b> % *	<b>86.5</b> % *	<b>60.1%</b> *	61.7% *	<b>71.4%</b> *	<b>69.5%</b> *	63.3% *	<b>74.8</b> % *	<b>73.3%</b> *		

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	75.0%	84.2%	74.1%
	*	*	*
District	<b>76.1%</b>	<b>70.5</b> %	<b>68.8%</b>
	*	*	*
State	<b>73</b> .1%	<b>59.9%</b>	66.0%
	*	*	*

Mathematica All Tasta

mathematic	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	75.5% *	74.0% *	76.9% *	77.3% *	*	61.1% *	*	*	*	*	<b>75.8%</b> *
District	<b>73.7%</b> *	<b>75.1%</b> *	<b>72.1%</b> *	<b>76.9%</b> *	<b>60.0%</b> *	<b>66.5</b> % *	51.9% *	*	*	<b>62.1%</b> *	<b>74.5</b> % *
State	<b>73.3%</b> *	<b>73.6</b> % *	<b>73.0%</b> *	<b>86.0</b> % *	<b>58.9%</b> *	<b>60.9%</b> *	<b>70.8%</b> *	<b>68.4</b> % *	62.5% *	<b>74.1%</b> *	<b>72.5%</b> *

### **Participation Rate (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Mathematics - All Tests

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	<b>75.8</b> %	<b>84.2</b> %	74.6%
	*	*	*
District	74.7%	68.1%	67.6%
	*	*	*

#### Science - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

### **Participation Rate (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

IAR	ELA

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

### IAR Mathematics

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### **Participation Rate (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### **IAR Mathematics**

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

### DLM ELA

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

### **Participation Rate (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### **DLM Mathematics**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

### **DLM Science**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

### **Participation Rate (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### **DLM Science**

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*


ISA Native Hawaiian/ Students Twoor Pacific American More with All Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Islander Indian Races Disabilities \* \* \* \* \* \* School \* District \* State \* \* \* \* \* \*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	*	*	*
State	*	*	*

## **School Environment**

## About the data

School environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.



### **Illinois Youth Survey**

### What is it?

This confirms whether or not this school participated in the Illinois Youth Survey (IYS) for the school year. The Illinois Youth Survey is a self-report survey of eighth-, 10th-, and 12th-grade students conducted during the spring of even-numbered years. It is administered in school settings and designed to gather information about a variety of health and social indicators, including substance use patterns and attitudes of Illinois youth. IYS is supported by the Center for Prevention Research and Development (CPRD) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. For more information, visit iys.cprd.illinois.edu. This indicator shows whether your school participated during the most recent administration year. CPRD provides all schools that participate in the Illinois Youth Survey a summary report of their results.

	School did participate in Illinois Youth Survey
School	Νο

### **School Level Finances**

#### What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	enditures	District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures			Total	
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
School	424	\$91	\$9,344	\$9,435	\$312	\$6,788	\$7,100	\$403	\$16,132	\$16,535	*	*
District	4,043	\$164	\$9,658	\$9,822	\$314	\$7,045	\$7,360	\$478	\$16,703	\$17,181	\$3,091,795	\$72,555,924

### **District Finances**

#### What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

#### **Revenue By Source**

State	60.1%	5.8%	22.3%	4.7%	7.1%	*
District	<b>73.0%</b> \$51,761,787	<b>5.5%</b> \$3,914,891	<b>13.7%</b> \$9,703,027	<b>2.7%</b> \$1,903,803	<b>5.1%</b> \$3,597,522	\$70,881,030
	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Faced Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue

#### **Expenditure By Function**

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	50.3%	2.4%	29.4%	17.9%
State	47.4%	3.0%	29.0%	20.7%

#### Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	<b>72.0%</b> \$52,363,885	<b>3.4%</b> \$2,456,597	<b>5.8%</b> \$4,220,426	<b>7.7%</b> \$5,618,406	<b>1.2%</b> \$851,768	<b>2.9%</b> \$2,125,461	<b>0.0%</b> \$0	<b>7.0%</b> \$5,123,768	\$72,760,311
State	70.1%	7.1%	3.6%	8.8%	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%	6.8%	*

### **District Finances (cont)**

### **Other Financial Indicators**

	2018 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2018 Total School Tax Rate per\$100	2019-20 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2019-20 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$257,299	5.4	\$9,100	\$12,559
State	*	*	\$8,826	\$14,747

### **Average Class Size**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Overall
School	21	21	22	20	21
District	19	19	19	20	20
State	19	20	20	20	20

## **School Environment**

### **Total School Days**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
School	176
District	176
State	177

### **Health and Wellness**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?


This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE per week
School	1
District	2
State	3



## About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



### **Student Enrollment**

#### What is it?

**By Subaroups** 

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

sy oungroups											
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	100.0%	50.5%	49.5%	68.7%	0.0%	23.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	16.5%
	418	211	207	287	*	98	*	*	*	16	69
District	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>47.7%</b>	<b>70.5%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	0.0%	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>20.8%</b>
	4,062	2,123	1,939	2,865	52	981	46	*	10	104	843
State	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>
	1,887,316	969,086	918,230	880,891	312,609	510,387	102,407	1,942	4,650	74,430	345,533

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	16.5%	20.1%	47.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	69	84	200	*	*	*	*
District	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
	777	589	1,678	17	*	23	47
State	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
	281,241	243,308	908,417	32,284	326	13,062	12,743

#### By Grades

	К	Grade1	Grade 2	Grade 3
School	100	117	104	97
District	407	404	391	408
State	120,110	127,671	127,907	130,321

## **Students**

### **Advanced Academic Programs**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement											
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>8.0%</b> 156,197	<b>7.5%</b> 74,801	<b>8.6%</b> 81,388	<b>7.7%</b> 69,509	<b>5.4%</b> 17,793	<b>7.8%</b> 41,113	<b>20.3%</b> 21,376	<b>13.0%</b> 256	<b>7.7%</b> 385	<b>7.4%</b> 5,765	<b>4.3%</b> 15,015
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
School	*	*	*	*	*						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1.9%</b> 5,501	<b>2.3%</b> 5,720	<b>5.5%</b> 50,536	<b>2.2%</b> 512	<b>1.0%</b> 139						

#### **Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.5%</b> 9,062	<b>0.3%</b> 3,144	<b>0.6%</b> 5,918	<b>0.6%</b> 5,606	<b>0.2%</b> 634	<b>0.3%</b> 1,776	<b>0.6%</b> 627	<b>0.2%</b> 3	<b>0.5%</b> 26	<b>0.5%</b> 390	<b>0.2%</b> 842

## **Students**

### Advanced Academic Programs (cont)

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 247	<b>0.1%</b> 130	<b>0.2%</b> 2,196	<b>0.1%</b> 32	<b>0.0%</b> 7

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Math

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.2%</b> 24,290	<b>1.4%</b> 14,444	<b>1.0%</b> 9,845	<b>1.5%</b> 13,688	<b>0.2%</b> 739	<b>0.8%</b> 4,135	<b>4.4%</b> 4,620	<b>1.2%</b> 23	<b>1.6%</b> 79	<b>1.3%</b> 1,006	<b>0.6%</b> 1,937

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.3%</b> 773	<b>0.3%</b> 846	<b>0.5%</b> 4,371	<b>0.2%</b> 57	<b>0.1%</b> 12

### **Advanced Academic Programs (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.2%</b> 100,406	<b>4.6%</b> 46,281	<b>5.7%</b> 54,120	<b>4.6%</b> 41,443	<b>3.9%</b> 12,686	<b>5.3%</b> 27,815	<b>13.7%</b> 14,366	<b>10.5%</b> 207	<b>4.4%</b> 219	<b>4.7%</b> 3,670	<b>2.7%</b> 9,334

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.1%</b> 3,069	<b>1.0%</b> 2,626	<b>3.8%</b> 34,624	<b>1.1%</b> 250	<b>0.6%</b> 90

### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.2%</b> 4,782	<b>0.2%</b> 2,132	<b>0.3%</b> 2,650	<b>0.1%</b> 929	<b>0.6%</b> 1,891	<b>0.2%</b> 1,279	<b>0.5%</b> 497	<b>0.4%</b> 8	<b>0.3%</b> 17	<b>0.2%</b> 161	<b>0.1%</b> 473

## **Students**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Advanced Academic Programs (cont)

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 253	<b>0.3%</b> 810	<b>0.3%</b> 2,705	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.1%</b> 14

#### Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>23.6%</b> 145,272	<b>20.2%</b> 63,878	<b>27.2%</b> 81,389	<b>24.5%</b> 71,845	<b>13.0%</b> 12,944	<b>22.6%</b> 37,832	<b>53.1%</b> 17,209	<b>31.9%</b> 203	<b>19.9%</b> 291	<b>23.9%</b> 4,948	<b>8.8%</b> 10,245

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care	
School	*	*	*	*	*	
District	*	*	*	*	*	
State	<b>2.7%</b> 2,349	<b>7.0%</b> 2,911	<b>16.0%</b> 42,899	*	*	

### **Advanced Academic Programs (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.0%</b> 6,121	<b>0.8%</b> 2,379	<b>1.3%</b> 3,742	<b>0.3%</b> 824	<b>1.9%</b> 1,842	<b>1.7%</b> 2,922	<b>1.2%</b> 396	<b>0.8%</b> 5	<b>1.6%</b> 23	<b>0.5%</b> 109	<b>0.5%</b> 543

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care	
School	*	*	*	*	*	
District	*	*	*	*	*	
State	<b>0.2%</b> 163	<b>0.5%</b> 195	<b>1.6%</b> 4,311	*	*	

#### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>20.1%</b> 390,785	<b>18.3%</b> 183,736	<b>21.9%</b> 207,040	<b>22.4%</b> 202,744	<b>13.3%</b> 43,538	<b>17.6%</b> 92,777	<b>34.4%</b> 36,095	<b>23.3%</b> 461	<b>16.4%</b> 815	<b>18.4%</b> 14,355	<b>10.7%</b> 37,437

## Advanced Academic Programs (cont)

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.9%</b> 16,764	<b>5.0%</b> 12,638	<b>13.4%</b> 122,600	<b>9.6%</b> 2,248	<b>4.0%</b> 575

#### Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>12.9%</b> 79,372	<b>12.2%</b> 38,630	<b>13.6%</b> 40,740	<b>15.5%</b> 45,567	<b>9.2%</b> 9,109	<b>10.2%</b> 17,161	<b>14.8%</b> 4,805	<b>12.6%</b> 80	<b>10.3%</b> 151	<b>12.1%</b> 2,499	<b>7.7%</b> 9,010

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.8%</b> 5,133	<b>6.1%</b> 2,557	<b>9.7%</b> 25,969	*	*

## **Gifted Students**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

### **Students Assessed For Giftedness**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>9.7%</b> 188,673	<b>9.6%</b> 96,413	<b>9.8%</b> 92,259	<b>10.5%</b> 95,102	<b>6.1%</b> 19,993	<b>7.5%</b> 39,207	<b>23.1%</b> 24,303	<b>13.1%</b> 258	<b>10.7%</b> 533	<b>11.9%</b> 9,277	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>7.2%</b> 20,532	<b>7.0%</b> 17,655	<b>6.5%</b> 59,670	*	*

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.3%</b> 24,668	<b>1.3%</b> 12,576	<b>1.3%</b> 12,092	<b>1.5%</b> 13,267	<b>0.5%</b> 1,720	<b>0.8%</b> 3,955	<b>4.2%</b> 4,367	<b>2.0%</b> 40	<b>1.6%</b> 79	<b>1.6%</b> 1,240	*

## **Gifted Students (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.6%</b> 1,594	<b>0.5%</b> 1,238	<b>0.5%</b> 4,817	*	*

#### **Students Identified As Gifted**

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>3.4%</b> 65,476	<b>3.4%</b> 33,874	<b>3.3%</b> 31,601	<b>3.4%</b> 30,346	<b>2.0%</b> 6,537	<b>2.2%</b> 11,680	<b>12.7%</b> 13,394	<b>6.7%</b> 133	<b>3.2%</b> 158	<b>4.1%</b> 3,228	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.7%</b> 2,067	<b>0.5%</b> 1,224	<b>1.8%</b> 16,100	*	*

## **Gifted Students (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.7%</b> 13,016	<b>0.7%</b> 6,723	<b>0.7%</b> 6,293	<b>0.7%</b> 6,289	<b>0.3%</b> 1,123	<b>0.3%</b> 1,672	<b>3.0%</b> 3,122	<b>1.3%</b> 25	<b>0.9%</b> 44	<b>1.0%</b> 741	*

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 383	<b>0.1%</b> 156	<b>0.2%</b> 2,156	*	*

## **English Learners**

### What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

### EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
School	*	100.0% 66	1.5% *	*
District	*	<b>100.0%</b> 424	<b>3.3</b> % *	* 35
State	*	<b>99.8%</b> 139,811	6.5% *	* 38,907

## **Student Attendance**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	<b>96.2</b> %	<b>96.3</b> %	<b>96.0</b> %	<b>96.7</b> %	92.4%	<b>95.4</b> %	<b>96.7</b> %	*	<b>97.6</b> %	<b>92</b> .1%	95.7%
District	95.9%	95.7%	96.1%	96.2%	91.9%	95.3%	97.4%	94.6%	95.7%	94.3%	94.5%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	95.7%	95.3%	95.3%
District	94.6%	95.3%	94.5%
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%

## **Student Mobility Rate**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

### Student Mobility

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	6.9%	6.8%	6.9%	6.7%	*	5.9%	*	*	*	10.5%	6.9%
District	6.1%	6.2%	6.0%	5.6%	14.9%	6.4%	4.8%	*	*	11.7%	<b>4.9</b> %
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4.4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	6.9%	10.0%	<b>8.1</b> %
District	5.0%	6.1%	7.9%
State	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%

## **Chronic Absenteeism Rate**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

Chronic Ab	Chronic Absenteeism										
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	<b>9.8</b> %	<b>8.2</b> %	11.3%	6.4%	*	13.0%	*	*	*	28.6%	<b>7.9</b> %
District	10.7%	11.0%	10.3%	9.4%	37.8%	12.7%	8.8%	*	*	14.8%	16.1%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	7.9%	14.5%	15.2%
District	<b>16.2</b> %	13.5%	17.4%
State	30.0%	23.8%	31.7%

## **Dropout Rate**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

By Subgro	ups										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%	2.1%	4.0%	2.6%	0.8%	2.0%	4.7%	3.3%	2.5%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
School	*	*	*								
District	*	*	*								
State	2.9%	4.1%	3.8%								

## **Chronically Truant Students**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	3.9%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	9.0%	9.9%	8.0%	<b>7.8</b> %	*	12.0%	*	*	*	13.8%	12.6%
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students	English	Low								

	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	6.3%
District	12.7%	12.2%	14.1%
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%

# **Accountability**

## About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual\* summative designation.

\* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.

Summative Designation Not Calculated	No Data	Schoolwide Title I Program
The requirement to issue a summative designation was waived for school year 2020-2021 so that data can be used to provide information to support reengagement and restoration.	School Improvement Funds	Title   Status

## **Title | Status**

#### What is it?

Title I Status indicates if an entity receives funds from the Title I grant. If an entity receives Title I funds, their status can be "Title I Targeted" or "Title I Schoolwide." Title I Targeted assistance programs must focus services to identify students most at risk of failing through multiple objective criteria. Services, including supplies, must ONLY focus on those students identified. Title I Schoolwide programs may focus services to the entire school. Schoolwide Title I Status is defined as a school with 40 percent or greater of the students enrolled classified as low income. Schoolwide programs are not required to identify students at risk and thus may focus their funding and efforts on raising achievement of the whole school.

	Title   Status
School	Schoolwide Title I Program

## **School Improvement Funds**

### What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.


#### Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

	TitlelSchool		
School Year First	Improvement - 1003(a)		Reason for Receiving Title
Identified As Needing	Funds Received for		School Improvement -
Support	Previous School Year	Level of Support	1003(a) Funds



## **About the data**

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.



### **Teacher Information**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	14	37.2%	62.8%	91.1%	100.0%
State	*	39.8%	59.5%	85.7%	98.8%

## **Student-To-Teacher Ratios**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	17	*
State	17	18

## **Average Teacher Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$73,266
State	\$70,653



## **Retention Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	85.9% 79	86.4% 70	*	87.5% 7	66.7% 2	*	•	*	*
	Male	85.7% 6	85.7% 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	85.9% 73	86.5% 64	*	87.5% 7	66.7% 2	*	*	*	*
District	All	<b>90.0%</b> 824	<b>90.6%</b> 764	*	<b>80.4%</b> 45	<b>66.7%</b> 2	*	*	<b>100.0%</b> 6	<b>87.5%</b> 7
	Male	<b>90.2%</b> 111	<b>95.3%</b> 101	*	<b>53.8%</b> 7	*	*	*	<b>100.0%</b> 3	<b>0.0%</b> 0
	Female	<b>89.9%</b> 713	<b>90.0%</b> 663	*	<b>88.4%</b> 38	<b>66.7%</b> 2	*	*	<b>100.0%</b> 3	<b>100.0%</b> 7
State	All	<b>87.1%</b> 308,429	<b>87.6%</b> 261,273	<b>80.5%</b> 15,160	<b>87.1%</b> 20,721	<b>86.8%</b> 4,670	<b>88.0%</b> 184	<b>84.2%</b> 669	<b>84.8%</b> 2,254	<b>80.2%</b> 3,498
	Male	<b>88.4%</b> 72,977	<b>89.2%</b> 62,644	<b>78.8%</b> 2,882	<b>86.4%</b> 4,759	<b>86.6%</b> 1,096	<b>87.7%</b> 57	<b>88.0%</b> 169	<b>86.4%</b> 579	<b>79.7%</b> 791
	Female	<b>86.7%</b> 235,452	<b>87.1%</b> 198,629	<b>81.0%</b> 12,278	<b>87.3%</b> 15,962	<b>86.8%</b> 3,574	<b>88.2%</b> 127	<b>82.9%</b> 500	<b>84.3%</b> 1,675	<b>80.3%</b> 2,707

## **Full-Time Equivalents**

### What is it?


This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	100.0% 30	90.0% 27	*	10.0% 3	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	6.7% 2	7.4% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	93.3% 28	92.6% 25	*	100.0% 3	*	*	*	*	*
District	All	<b>100.0%</b> 310.2	<b>92.9%</b> 288.2	*	<b>5.2%</b> 16	<b>0.3%</b> 1	*	*	<b>0.6%</b> 2	<b>1.0%</b> 3
	Male	<b>13.2%</b> 41	<b>13.2%</b> 38	*	<b>12.5%</b> 2	*	*	*	<b>50.0%</b> 1	*
	Female	<b>86.8%</b> 269.2	<b>86.8%</b> 250.2	*	<b>87.5%</b> 14	<b>100.0%</b> 1	*	*	<b>50.0%</b> 1	<b>100.0%</b> 3
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 132354.5	<b>82.0%</b> 108491.7	<b>6.0%</b> 7993.1	<b>7.9%</b> 10482.3	<b>1.7%</b> 2309.5	<b>0.1%</b> 83.7	<b>0.2%</b> 240.2	<b>0.8%</b> 1059	<b>1.3%</b> 1695
	Male	<b>23.1%</b> 30617.9	<b>23.4%</b> 25426.5	<b>20.5%</b> 1641.5	<b>22.5%</b> 2355	<b>22.2%</b> 512.8	<b>29.8%</b> 24.9	<b>24.1%</b> 58	<b>24.4%</b> 258.6	<b>20.1%</b> 340.5
	Female	<b>76.9%</b> 101736.7	<b>76.6%</b> 83065.2	<b>79.5%</b> 6351.6	<b>77.5%</b> 8127.3	<b>77.8%</b> 1796.7	<b>70.2%</b> 58.8	<b>75.9%</b> 182.3	<b>75.6%</b> 800.4	<b>79.9%</b> 1354.5

# **Administrators**

## About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.



## **Student-To-Staff Ratios**

### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	8	135
State	10	157

## **Principal Turnover**

### What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

Principal(s) over the past 6 years		
School	2	
District	1	
State	2	

### **Average Administrator Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$105,869
State	\$114,141

## Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

## About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

### **Student Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
School	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%
District	2.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	11.7%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

## **Student Environment (cont)**

		Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence			
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide		
School	0.0%	0	0		
District	0.0%	0	0		
State	2.2%	153	5		

### **Academic Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

			Advanced Placement Course Work	
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate(IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work
School	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0	0	0	0
District	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	147	0	0	0
State	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
	78,272	143,753	5,004	65,736